

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Authority, Among Other Things, To Increase Revenue Requirements for Electric and Gas Service and to Increase Rates and Charges for Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2003. (U 39 M)	Application 02-11-017 (Filed November 8, 2002)
Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into the Rates, Operations, Practices, Service and Facilities of Pacific Gas and Electric Company.	Investigation 03-01-012 (Filed January 16, 2003)
Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Pursuant to Resolution E-3770 for Reimbursement of Costs Associated with Delay in Implementation of PG&E's New Customer Information System Caused by the 2002 20/20 Customer Rebate Program. (U 39 E)	Application 02-09-005 (Filed September 6, 2002)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
SHORTENING TIME FOR FILING COMMENTS ON THE
MOTION FOR DELAY OF PHASE 2 OF PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC'S COMPANY'S GENERAL RATE CASE**

On January 7, 2004, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) filed a Motion to Delay Phase 2 of PG&E's General Rate Case and a Motion for an Order Shortening Time to respond to the Motion. The Motion to Delay Phase 2 requests a four-month delay, until June 4, 2004, of PG&E's current Phase 2 deadline of February 6, 2004. PG&E and ORA

state that the only Commission Meeting that occurs before the February 6, 2004 dealing for Phase 2 filing is scheduled for January 22, 2004. PG&E and ORA request that responses to the Motion to Delay Phase 2 be due on January 20, 2003 to allow the Commission to review the parties' responses prior to the January 22, 2004 Commission Meeting. PG&E and ORA further request that the requirement for comments on the proposed decision issued in response to the Motion to Delay Phase 2 be waived pursuant to Rule 77(f)(9) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. PG&E and ORA state that the active parties have already been informally consulted about the request for a delay in PG&E's Phase 2 filing, and no party appears to oppose the request.

Rule 45(f) provides that responses to written motions must be filed within 15 days, unless the ALJ sets a different date. In order to allow the Commission to consider the Motion for Delay in Phase 2 along with any responses to the Motion prior to the current February 6, 2004 deadline, the time for filing comments on the Motion to Delay Phase 2 of PG&E's General Rate Case should be shortened.

IT IS RULED that:

1. Responses to the Motion to Delay Phase 2 of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's General Rate Case shall be due January 20, 2004.
2. Electronic service of responses is mandatory. Hard copy service shall be made to parties requesting hard copies and may be made to the entire service list if desired.

Dated January 15 , 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ JULIE M. HALLIGAN

Julie M. Halligan
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties to which an electronic mail address has been provided, this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Shortening Time for Filing Comments on the Motion for Delay of Phase 2 of Pacific Gas and Electric's Company's General Rate Case on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated January 15, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.